

GAHC030005452022



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : PIL(Suo Moto)/1/2022**

Construction Building in Chanmari, Chaltlang Road  
Aizawl.

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 14 Ors.  
r/b the Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram, Urban Development and Poverty  
Alleviation Department.      2:Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram  
Health and Family Welfare Department  
Aizawl.

3:Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram  
Home Department  
Aizawl.

4:Director General of Police  
Govt. of Mizoram.  
Aizawl.

5:Director of Hospital and Medical Education  
Govt. of Mizoram  
Aizawl.

6:Deputy Commissioner  
Aizawl District  
Aizawl.

7:Superintendent of Police  
Aizawl District  
Aizawl.

8:Superintendent of Police  
Traffic  
Aizawl

Aizawl

9:Aizawl Municipal Corporation r/b Municipal Commissioner  
Thuampui.

10:Mrs. Dinari T. Azyu  
W/o Zothansanga  
R/o Dawrpui Jail Veng  
House No. J-32  
Aizawl-796001

11:Dr. Lalremmawia  
R/o B-28  
B/1  
Ramhlun North  
Aizawl.

12:Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram  
Land Revenue and Settlement Deptt.  
Aizawl

13:Director  
Land Revenue and Settlement Deptt  
Govt. of Mizoram.  
Aizawl

14:The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram  
Aizawl  
Mizoram

15:The Principal Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram  
Transport Dept.  
Aizawl  
Mizora

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : none

**Advocate for the Respondent** : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R1-8 & 12-15

**BEFORE**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**  
**JUDGMENT / ORDER**

Date : **29.07.2026**  
**(M. Zothankhuma, J)**

1. Ms. Lalnunhlui, learned Government Advocate, Mizoram, submits that in terms of the order dated 05/06/2026, the respondents have made a verification with regard to whether the beneficiaries of the PAHOSS scheme have utilised their buildings for public parking, in terms of the agreement executed by them with the Government. She prays for a week's time to file the said report.
2. At the time of filing the report by the respondents, it should be clarified by the respondents as to the steps/action taken by them, in respect of those persons who are mentioned in the report, who have not implemented the PAHOSS scheme in their buildings.
3. Ms. Lalnunhlui, learned Government Advocate submits that the respondents need more time, for making the guidelines for recovery of the grants given to the beneficiaries under the PAHOSS scheme, in respect of those beneficiaries who have not acted in terms of the said scheme. She submits that the guidelines will be made within another three weeks.
4. In view of the above, the counsel for the State Government is granted 3 (three) weeks time, to submit the guidelines to be framed by the Government for recovery of money from the beneficiaries of PAHOSS scheme, who have not acted in terms of the said scheme. The State respondents shall also produce the original contract agreements within three weeks.
5. We have also been informed that there are many parking areas run by the AMC, which is causing inconvenience to the movement of traffic and pedestrians, within Aizawl City. This aspect of the matter would have to be

looked into by the AMC and the Traffic Police. Accordingly, the SP Traffic, Aizawl and the Commissioner, AMC, will constitute a team to verify and make a report with regard to whether some of the parking areas within Aizawl city need to be removed, to ensure free flow of traffic in that particular area.

6. Mr. T. Lalnunsiam, learned counsel is present on behalf of the AMC.
7. List the matter on 05/08/2026.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**