GAHC030007512026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/17/2023

Mizoram SSA Teachers Association R/b its President and Anr.
Mizoram

2: Sh Rokima Varte
President of the Mizoram SSA Teachers' Association
Chanmari
Aizawl
VERSUS

Smt Dr Renu Sharma and 10 Ors.
The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

2:Dr Lalzirmawia Chhangte
Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
School Education Dept.
Aizawl

3:Sh R.Lalramnghaka
Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Dept. of Personnel and Administrative Reforms
Aizawl

4:Sh Vanlal Chhuanga
Principal Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Finance Dept.
Aizawl

5:Sh Lalsangliana
Director
School Education Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram

Aizawl

6:Smt Lalzarmawii
Commissioner and Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
School Education Department
Aizawl

7:Sh Khilli Ram Meena
The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

8:Sh David Lalthantluanga
Special Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
School Education Department
Aizawl

9:Sh K.Lalthawmmawia
Commissioner and Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Dept. of Personnel and Administrative Reforms
Aizawl

10:Sh Vanlaldina Fanai
Commissioner and Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Finance Department
Aizawl

11:Smt Angela Zothanpuii
The Director
School Education Department
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Dinari T Azyu
Advocate for the Respondent : Mrs. Mary Lalruatkimi Khiangte for R1
R3
R4
R7-R11

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

27.10.2026

Heard Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. B. Deb, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for all the respondents through Video Conferencing, assisted by Mr. Samuel Vanlalhriata Chhangte, learned counsel.

2. The present contempt petition had been initiated in the year 2023, alleging willful non-implementation/non-compliances of the Judgment and Order dated 17.03.2023 passed in WP(C) No. 128/2019.

3. This Court, by order dated 23.07.2026, had rejected the prayers made in I.A.(Civil) No. 28/2026 for extension of time for a further period of 2 (two) years for compliance with the order dated 17.03.2023.

4. It is noticed that the I.A.(Civil) No. 28/2026 had not been preferred by any of the Contemnors and had rather been filed on behalf of the State of Mizoram and its instrumentalities. This Court, while dismissing the I.A.(Civil) No. 28/2026, had observed that the framing of the scheme, which was referred to by the applicants in I.A.(Civil) No. 28/2026, did not suffice for compliance with the decisions of the orders of this Court and a specific observation was also made that no concrete steps were taken, as the petitioners were not considered

for regularization of the services as had been ordered by this Court.

5. By the order dated 17.09.2026 passed in this Cont. Cas (C) No. 17/2023, it was observed that this Court had already waited for more than 3 ½ years for compliance of the orders of this Court and the assurances given by the respondents for compliance of the order of this Court has not given the desired result. Although by the aforesaid order, the respondents were required to appear personally before this Court, by another order dated 05.10.2026 passed in I.A.(Civil) No. 186/2026, the personal appearance had been dispensed with, subject to further orders that may be passed in the connected Contempt Petition. I.A.(Civil) No. 186/2026 has been disposed of by another order today.

6. Mr. B. Deb, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondents in this Contempt Petition, has submitted that the State has preferred an appeal before the Division Bench of this Court to assail the order dated 17.03.2023 passed in WP(C) No. 128/2019 and the application seeking condonation of delay in preferring the appeal is to receive consideration on 23.11.2023. He has accordingly prayed that the matter may be taken up after 23.11.2026, awaiting the result of the prayers for condonation of delay in preferring the writ appeal.

7. Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, has submitted that on previous occasions, submissions had been made and even an Interlocutory Application had been preferred before this Court praying for extension of time to comply with the orders of this Court and it is at this belated stage that attempts are being made to prefer an appeal, which also show the attempt of the respondents to delay the compliance of the orders of the Court.

8. Mr. B. Deb, learned Senior Counsel, has requested that the matter may be taken up on 07.12.2026 when he would be available physically to appear for the respondents. Although this Court is not inclined to delay the matter further since the show-cause replies on behalf of the respondents have already been filed, and the matter now requires consideration of this Court, at the request of the learned Senior Counsel, an accommodation is granted till 04.12.2026, when the matter would be taken up for consideration.

9. List the matter again on **04.12.2026.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant