



2026:AHC-LKO:24909

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 1392 of 2026**

Lal Zedanga And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. The Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of Home  
Lko. And 5 Others

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Srees Kumar Srivastava, Munish Kumar  
Chandra

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 14**

**HON'BLE SUBHASH VIDYARTHI, J.**

1. Heard Sri Srees Kumar Srivastava, the learned counsel for the applicant and Sri G.D. Bhatt, the learned AGA-I for the State.
2. The instant application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C, seeking quashing of the impugned charge sheet dated 02.02.2025 arising out of Case Crime No.04/2025, under Sections 3, 5(1) of U.P. Prohibition of Unlawful Conversion of Religion Act 2021 at Police Station Harchandpur, District-Raebareli, impugned summoning order dated 08.04.2025 as well as the entire criminal proceedings of Criminal Case No.4312 of 2025, which is pending before the court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Court No.11, Raebareli.
3. The quashing of the proceedings has been sought on the ground that the parties have entered into a compromise on 17.07.2025, a copy whereof has been annexed with the affidavit.
4. It has been stated in the compromise that the dispute between the parties was personal in nature and it did not affect public peace and tranquility.
5. The learned counsel for the opposite party nos. 2 to 4 has also supported the factum of compromise and has given his consent for proceedings being quashed on the basis of compromise.
6. Considering the ratio laid down by the Supreme Court in the cases of **B. S. Joshi and others versus State of Haryana and another : (2003) 4 SCC 675**, **Nikhil Merchant versus C.B.I. and another : (2008) 9 SCC 677**, **Manoj Sharma versus State and others : (2008) 16 SCC 1**, **Gian Singh**

**versus Station of Punjab: (2010) 15 SCC 118 and Narinder Singh and others versus State of Punjab and another: (2014) 6 SCC 466**, it would be appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case to quash the criminal proceedings as continuance of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility.

7. In view of the fact that the parties have settled their dispute by way of compromise entered into between the parties and the law laid down by the Supreme Court of India, the present petition is **allowed** and the summoning order as well as the charge sheet arising out of F.I.R. No.04/2025, under Sections 3, 5(1) of U.P. Prohibition of Unlawful Conversion of Religion Act 2021 at Police Station Harchandpur, District- Raebareli and the entire proceedings of Case No.4312 of 2025, pending before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Court No.11, Raebareli are hereby **quashed**.

**April 9, 2026**

-Amit K-

**(Subhash Vidyarthi,J.)**